Many-body localization and new critical phenomena in regular random graphs and constrained Erdős-Renyi networks

V. Avetisov[1,2], A. Gorsky[3,4], S. Nechaev[5,6], O. Valba[1,2]
[1] *N.N. Semenov Institute of Chemical Physics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 119991, Moscow, Russia,*
[2] *Department of Applied Mathematics, National Research University Higher School of Economics, 101000, Moscow, Russia,*
[3] *Institute of Information Transmission Problems of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia,*
[4] *Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny 141700, Russia*
[5] *CNRS/Independent University of Moscow, Poncelet Laboratory, Moscow, Russia*
[6] *P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 119991, Moscow, Russsia*

arXiv:1611.08531v1 [cond-mat.dis-nn] 25 Nov 2016

We consider from the localization perspective the new critical behavior discovered recently for the regular random graphs (RRG) and constrained Erdős-Renyi networks (CERN). The diagonal disorder for standard models, we replace by the fugacity μ of triads in the RRG and CERN. At some critical value of μ the network decays into the maximally possible number of almost full graphs, and the adjacency matrix acquires the two-zonal structure. We find that the eigenvalue statistics corresponds to delocalized states in the central zone, and to the localized states in the side one. The mobility edge lies between zones. We apply these findings to the many-body localization assuming the approximation of the hierarchical structure of the Fock space (for some interacting many-body system) by the RGG and by CERN with some vertex degree. We allow the 3-cycles in the Fock space and identify particles in the many-body system above the phase transition with clusters in the RRG. We discuss the controversial issue of the additional phase transition between ergodic and non-ergodic regions in the delocalized phase in the Fock space and find the strong "'memory-dependence"' of the states in the delocalized phase.

I. INTRODUCTION

Anderson localization [1] provides the unified framework for the disorder-induced metal-insulator transitions in various physical problems. Originally, the concept of Anderson localization appeared in context of a particle propagating in disordered media and dealt with the examination how its wave function depends on the strength of spatial disorder. It was found that above some critical disorder strength, the wave function becomes exponentially decaying in space, and the change in their shape occurs as a phase transition.

There are several criteria of the localization transition. The signature of localization is encoded in the behavior of the "inverse participation ratio" of the wave function, it is also manifested in the statistics of corresponding eigenmodes (energy levels) and also appeared in the behavior of the entanglement entropy (see [2] for review). In this paper we focus at the level spacing distribution criterium. In the delocalized regime the level spacing distribution between nearest energy levels shares the Wigner-Dyson (surmise) law, while in the localized regime it follows the Poisson statistics. In space dimensions $D > 2$, the localized and delocalized states can coexist in different parts of the spectrum, and the notion of the *mobility edge* is introduced to separate these regions.

The idea of Anderson localization has acquired recently a new incarnation in physics of interacting many-body systems [3, 4] using the idea of Fock space localization [5] (see [6, 7] for reviews on many-body localization). Localization occurs not in real space, but in Fock (Hilbert) space of the many-body system, approximated by some Bethe lattice when some exact results are available [8–11]. Schematically, this mapping goes as follows. If the wave function of some effective one-particle system is close in Fock space to the state of many-body system, then the localization in Fock space takes place. However, if the wave function of this effective one-particle system is expanded over large number of states of the many-body system, this regime is understood as delocalized in the Fock space. Similarly to the localization in the real space, the notion of the mobility edge can be introduced in the Fock space as well. Mapping of initial many-body interacting model onto the Hilbert space of an effective one-particle system is given by the graph, which under certain assumptions can be identified with the Bethe lattice. Statistical properties of Bethe lattices have a lot in common with properties of random regular graphs (RRG), and the issue of the Fock space localization in terms of the one-particle excitations on random regular graphs attracts nowadays much attention [12–15].

Recently, quite surprising indication of an additional phase transition inside the delocalized regime has been reported in [12, 16, 17, 19]. It has been found in [12, 16] that the critical value of the disorder separates the "ergodic" and "non-ergodic" delocalization regimes. In the non-ergodic case the thermalization does not take place and the very notion of thermodynamics becomes meaningless. This issue has been discussed in several papers however no definite answer concerning the existence or absence of the non-ergodicity is yet provided. Recently, the existence of the non-ergodic delocalized phase has been related to the one-step replica symmetry breaking (RSB) in disordered system, and

a kind of analytic approach to this problem has been developed [15]. In [15] it was conjectured that the non-ergodic case corresponds to the RSB phase, while ergodic case means the unbroken replica symmetry. The multifractality exponents experience the jump at some critical value of the disorder signaling the ergodic/non-ergodic transition happen inside the delocalized phase.

In this work we reverse the standard logic of the approach to the many-body localization, and exploit our recent findings dealing with the critical behavior of CERN and RRG to gain new insight on Anderson localization in the Fock space. We interpret the phase transition found in [21, 22], for CERN and RRG, controlled by the fugacity of triads, in terms of the many-body localization and discuss related ergodic properties in corresponding systems. It seems instructive to summarize first the main relevant results obtained in [22].

- Above some critical value of the chemical potential (fugacity) controlling the number of closed triangles (triads) in the network, both CERN and RRG get defragmented into the weakly connected collection of almost full subgraphs ("cliques");
- The spectral densities of CERN and RRG form two-zonal structure. In particular:
  - The spectral density in the main zone above the transition point acquires triangle-like shape both for CERN and RRG. This spectral density emerges due to the strong effect of the inter-cluster interactions on spectrum of modes inside each clique;
  - The second zone of spectral density above the transition point is filled by the eigenvalues corresponding to clusters (one eigenvalue per one cluster).

Here we address a question: which particular results for the localization in Fock space for many-body system follow from the critical behavior in CERN and RRG when a fugacity of closed triads of bonds in these systems is varied. Below mentioned issues are under our specific attention:

- How the localization-delocalization phase transition in CERN and RRG, controlled by the fugacity of triads, is manifested in spectral properties of these systems? Is there a mobility edge?
- Do we have the signature of an intermediate non-ergodic delocalized phase in our systems for some region of fugacity of triads? If it exists, what might be its physical meaning?

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we remind the basic ideas behind the many-body localization and its relation with Anderson localization on graphs. The interplay between the Anderson localization on the perturbed RRG and CERN and many-body localization, is discussed in Section 3. In Section 4 we discuss on the possible interpretation of the chemical potential in the interacting many-body system. In Section 5 we consider the evidence of the non-ergodic nature of the delocalized modes in our system. The open questions are outlined in the Discussion.

II. MANY-BODY LOCALIZATION AND LOCALIZATION IN FOCK SPACE

Let us briefly remind the issue of the many-body localization [3]. Consider some interacting many-body electron system with the Hamiltonian written in the second quantized form

$$H = \sum_i E_i c_i^+ c_i + \sum_{ijkl} V^{ijkl} c_i^+ c_j^+ c_k c_l = H_0 + H_{int} \tag{1}$$

Typically it is assumed that the two-particle interaction, $U(x, y)$ is local in the space, being for example, $U(u, y) = V\delta(x - y)$, where V measures the strength of interaction. For $V = 0$ all electrons are completely localized in space and the conductivity vanishes at small temperature . The key idea of the many-body localization concerns the localization-delocalization transition in the interacting many-body system at finite temperature.

The conductivity occurs above some critical temperature due to the inelastic process, in which, roughly speaking, the electron creates the electron-hole pair due to the interactions in real space. One can approximately describe this many-body process by counting multiple excited states (the number of created electron-hole pairs) in the Fock (Hilbert) space, where the point in the Fock space corresponds to some given number of electron-hole pairs. Certainly,

the choice of the basis in the Hilbert space involves some arbitrariness and parametrization of the Fock space by the number of the electron-hole pairs is one of the possible choices.

One can introduce the metrics in the Fock space as follows. The "distance" in the Fock space between two distinct many-body states x and y in the preselected basis is measured via the difference in numbers of excited electron-hole pairs at points x and y respectively. Upon the choice of the basis, the states get mapped on sites of some topological network and the hopping rates between sites are provided by the term H_{int} in (1), which is responsible for the creation of the electron-hole pairs. The term H_{int} yields connections between sites and hence determines the topology of the Fock space network. It was argued that upon some approximation, the Fock space network has the structure of the disordered Bethe lattice, which in turn, can be approximated by the random regular graph (we discuss this point below in more details).

Thus, the problem gets reduced to the investigation of the localization property of a single particle on a Bethe lattice, assuming that E_i are random variables with flat distribution on some support, $-W < E_i < W$ $(i = 1, ..., N)$, and all E_i live on vertices of the Bethe lattice. The vertex degree of the Bethe lattice, p, according to [3], is proportional to the temperature, T. Thus, the set of parameters, (V, T), in the coordinate space for the many-body problem, is mapped to the set of parameters of the one-particle problem in the Fock space with the topology of disordered Bethe lattice. The effective one-particle Hamiltonian, H_{Bethe}, in the Fock space reads:

$$H \sim H_{Bethe} = \mathrm{diag}(E_1, \dots E_N) + A(p) \tag{2}$$

where H is given by (1), $A(p)$ is the adjacency matrix of the Bethe lattice with fixed vertex degree p. Thus, on the Bethe lattice (the topological graph) we have essentially the Anderson model with the diagonal disorder. The vertex degree, p, is related to the parameters of the initial interacting many-body system as follows

$$p \propto \frac{T}{\Delta} \tag{3}$$

where T is the temperature in the initial many-body system, and Δ is the mean single-particle spacing between nearest eigenstates in the vicinity of the Fermi level.

We have mentioned above the popular models of the many-body localization with the short-range interactions when the contact interactions in the physical space amount to the in-site disorder in the one-particle problem in the Fock space network. However there are also examples of the systems with long-range interaction with power law potentials which enjoy the many-body localization phenomena [24–27] as well. In this case the mapping to the Fock space network is more complicated and in particular the special orders of the perturbation theory could play the special role [26]. It what follows we shall present the arguments that our CERN and RRG presumably correspond to the many-body systems with long-range interaction.

The localization in the Anderson model on the Bethe lattice has been solved in [8–11], where it was shown that the critical value of disorder dividing the localized and delocalized states is

$$W_{crit} \propto Vp \log p \tag{4}$$

where V is the strength of the interaction yielding W for on-site disorder on the topological Bethe graph.

The critical value of the Bethe lattice disorder, W_{crit}, can be translated back to the initial many-body problem: the localized states of effective one-body system in the Fock space are close to the states in the many-body system, while the delocalized eigenstates of the one-particle system in the Fock space are the superposition of large number of many-body states of initial problem. The problem of localization in the Fock space has been transferred from the Bethe lattice to the RRG [13, 16, 17] since the average number of triangles in the uniform RRG ensemble is of order of $\bar{N}_\Delta = \frac{(p-1)^3}{6}$ for large N and, hence, is negligible in the thermodynamic limit. In what follows we work with the standard spectral density of the graphs ensemble defined via averaging of eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix over the disorder:

$$\rho(\lambda) = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(\lambda - \lambda_i) \right\rangle_{\text{ensemble}} \tag{5}$$

For the unperturbed Erdős-Renyi (ER) graph the spectral density typically is given by the Wigner semicircle . On the other hand, the spectral density for the ensemble of RRG of degree p, differs from the Wigner semicircle [23], being

$$\rho(\lambda) = \frac{p}{2\pi(1-\lambda^2)} \sqrt{\frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} - \lambda^2} \tag{6}$$

As we shall see below, the distribution (6) for RRG gets essentially transformed in vicinity of localization-delocalization transition driven by the fugacity of graph triads.

III. PERTURBED CERN AND RRG MODELS: NETWORK CLIQUES AS QUASI-PARTICLES FOR MANY-BODY SYSTEMS

Here we consider Anderson localization for two tightly linked systems: CERN and RRG. We follow the strategy described above, however introduce the disorder differently. Namely, we consider for CERN the Gibbs measure for the random adjacency matrix, A, in the following form

$$P(A) = \exp(a\,\mathrm{Tr}A^2 + \mu\,\mathrm{Tr}A^3) \tag{7}$$

where the coefficient a in front of the quadratic term is the link fugacity, while the coefficient μ in front of the cubic term measures the number of closed triangles (triads) in the network. For RRG the total number of the links is fixed hence the measure involves the cubic term only. Despite no diagonal disorder is present in our model, later we shall argue that μ plays the role of the disorder strength in the system.

To begin with, let us briefly comment on the critical behavior in the CERN model [21, 22] which is the generalization of the Strauss model [28] solved in [29, 30] in the mean-field approximation. The CERN differs from the standard Erdős-Renyi (ER) network by two additional requirements: i) by the constraint of quenched degree in all graph vertices, and ii) by the condition that network is forced to increase the number of closed triangles, $N_\triangle$.

The initial state of the ER network is prepared by connecting any randomly taken pair of vertices with the probability p (the double connections are excluded). When the initial pattern is prepared, one randomly chooses two arbitrary links, say, between vertices i and j, (ij), and between k and m, (km), and reconnect them, getting new links (ik) and (jm). Such reconnection conserves the vertex degree [31]. Now, one applies the standard Metropolis algorithm with the following rules: a) if under the reconnection the number of closed triads is increased, a move is accepted, b) if the number of closed triads is decreased by $\Delta N_\triangle$, or remains unchanged, a move is accepted with the probability $e^{-\mu\Delta N_\triangle}$. Then the Metropolis algorithm runs repeatedly for large set of randomly chosen pairs of links, until it converges. In [32] it was proven that such Metropolis algorithm converges to the Gibbs measure $e^{\mu N_\triangle}$ in the equilibrium ensemble of random undirected Erdős-Renyi networks with fixed vertex degree.

To explain the results obtained for CERN and RRG, it is instructive to make a step back and consider ensemble of unconstrained Erdős-Renyi graphs with non-fixed vertex degree. The Hamiltonian for such system reads

$$H = -\mu N_\Delta, \qquad (\mu > 0) \tag{8}$$

which is the Hamiltonian of the Strauss model [28–30]. It has been shown in [29, 30] that if the system tends to form as many triads as possible, there is a phase transition, driven by the fugacity μ, and at some $\mu_{cr}(p)$ the so-called Strauss phase consisting of a single full subgraph (clique) is formed for any initial random ER network with fixed number of vertices, N, and fixed average number of links at vertex, pN.

If the additional constraint of vertex degree conservation is imposed, such model (CERN) becomes in many respects similar to the RRG. The phase transition pattern both in CERN and RRG models is again μ-controlled, however networks decay into the maximally possible number $[1/p]$ of almost full subgraphs (cliques) [22], where p is the vertex connection probability in the initial network at the preparation. The corresponding adjacency matrices are shown in the Fig.1, where different phases of the ground states are clearly seen. The ground state of the quenched network involves $[p^{-1}]$ almost complete graphs corresponding to blocks (cliques) of the adjacency matrix A with fluctuating sizes N_i ($\sum_i N_i = N$) and the mean value of the vertices in the clique, $N_{cl} = \langle N_i \rangle = N/[p^{-1}] \approx Np$. To visualize the kinetics, we enumerated vertices at the preparation condition in arbitrary order and run the Metropolis stochastic dynamics. When the system is equilibrated and the cliques are formed, we re-enumerate vertices sequentially according to their belongings to cliques. Then we restore corresponding dynamic pathways back to the initial configuration.

Since we are interested in detailed description of the localization phenomena, the enveloping shape of the spectral density of adjacency matrix, and the level spacing distribution, become of primary importance. The spectral densities of ensembles of CERN and RRG behave similarly above μ_{cr} (see [22] for details). Namely, when μ is increasing from zero, the Wigner semicircle gets gradually deformed, acquiring triangular shape of main zone, accompanied by number of isolated eigenvalues, which eventually form the second zone. Isolated eigenvalues correspond to clusters [33], hence we could think of the second zone as constituted by "non-perturbative" clusters and inter-cluster interactions, while the spectral density in the main zone reflects the "perturbative" excitations inside each cluster.



FIG. 1: Few typical samples of intermediate stages of CERN evolution above the transition point.

The investigation of the level spacing distribution for CERN and RRG provides the identification of the Anderson localization for the one-particle dynamics in the Fock space. In the delocalized regime, the distribution is given by the Wigner surmise, while in the localized phase it becomes Poissonian:

$$\begin{cases} P_{deloc}(s) = A\, s\, e^{-Bs^2} & \text{below mobility edge, } \lambda_m(GOE) \\ P_{loc}(s) = e^{-s} & \text{above mobility edge, } \lambda_m \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

where GOE is the abbreviation of the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble, $s = \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}}{\Delta}$. We have studied how the defragmentation of the CERN and RRG into clusters above the phase transition in μ, is accompanied by changes in the spectral densities and in the level spacing distributions. The results of our numerical simulations are shown in the Fig.2 for CERN, and in the Fig.3 for RRG. It is seen for both, CERN and RRG, that the level spacing distribution in the central zone follows the Wigner surmise, while it becomes Poissonian for the eigenvalues in the second zone. These distributions are separated by the well defined mobility edge, λ_m, which in our case lies between two zones. The second zone corresponds to the localized phase and can be naively interpreted physically as the insulator. Fine structure of delocalized states in the main zone and its meaning will be discussed later.

Recall that in our model we have no any on-site (diagonal) disorder in the Fock space, and the strength of the on-site disorder is replaced by the fugacity of triads. Averaging over the disorder via integrals over adjacency matrices means that we have a fluctuating geometry if the number of closed triangles is interpreted as a kind of a Ricci curvature. Familiar example of similar nature concerns the approximation of the 2D fluctuating geometry via the Hermitian matrix model with the potential containing quadratic and cubic terms. The coefficient in front of the cubic term in the matrix potential is analogous to μ and plays the role of the cosmological constant in 2D gravity models. There is the critical behavior in the 2D topological gravity at some value of the cosmological constant [36], above which the surface develops a polymer phase with a number of baby-universes. The critical behavior we are discussing for CERN and RRG, to some extend, is the network counterpart of this 2D topological gravity phenomena. Note that the interplay between the perturbed random networks and some version of the quantum gravity was discussed recently in [37].

It should be emphasized however that we are in an unusual situation because the fluctuating geometry takes place in the Fock space, but not in the coordinate space. Since our mobility edge separates the localization-delocalization regimes in the Fock space, we can ask a question what our findings mean for the initial many-body system. It seems naturally to identify the states in localized part of the spectrum (the second zone) in the Fock space with the localized states in the initial many-body interacting model. We know precisely that the localized state in the Fock space is the clique formed in CERN or RRG above the transition point μ_{cr}. Hence, we come to the straightforward identification of the network clique with the *quasiparticle* in the underlying many-body system for which CERN or RRG are the target Fock spaces.

We arrive at the following picture. Start with the initial real-space many-body system and fix its intrinsic basis in the Hilbert space above the phase transition point (where clusters-cliques are present) by defining the corresponding Fock space representation. We argue that in the localized regime in the CERN and RRG, the eigenstate in the Fock space are identified with clusters. According to the logic of the many-body localization, this means that cliques form the proper basis in the initial many-body system at $\mu > \mu_{crit}$ and can be considered as the corresponding degrees of freedom (quasiparticles). To the contrary, in the delocalized regime (at $\mu > \mu_{crit}$), the perturbative excitations in the main zone of the spectral density should be considered as the collective state of large number of interacting clusters.



FIG. 2: Spectral density and level spacing distribution for CERN.

Let us emphasize that below the phase transition (at $\mu < \mu_{crit}$) such basis does not seem natural since we have no stable cliques in the Fock space representation in this regime. It is worth noting that such situation is familiar for systems which enjoy different spectra of stable particles at weak and strong coupling regimes. At strong couplings, the natural basis involves the soliton-like states and the perturbative modes have to be considered as a kind of composites of the solitonic states. Contrary, at weak couplings, the natural basis involves the perturbative modes and solitons are considered as made from large number of perturbative modes. The necessity to consider different bases in the Hilbert space at weak and strong couplings is well established feature of SUSY theories, allowing exact treatment. In SUSY case it is possible to define the so-called "marginal stability curve" in the parameter space when the Hilbert space gets rearranged. The notion of the spectral network can be also introduced [38] in such situation. More conventional examples of representation of solitons via perturbative modes involve the monopole consisting of $1/g$ perturbative W-bosons, or the skyrmion which can be thought of as consisting of large number of Goldstone modes. Usually such objects share the fermionic statistics.

The existence of the mobility edge has straightforward explanation in this model picture. In the delocalized region of the spectrum we have only perturbative modes which can be considered as excitations of the soliton state. According to [22], the interactions between solitons have dramatic influence on the spectral density: instead of separated peaks which constitute the spectrum of almost full or sparse networks, we have the continuum set of modes which has very peculiar triangular enveloping shape. One could say that perturbative modes get collectivized among the solitons-cliques.



FIG. 3: Spectral density and level spacing distribution for RRG.

It is worth mentioning that usually the delocalized (metal) states are located at energies above the mobility edge, λ_m, while the localized (insulator) states lie below λ_m. In our case we have an opposite situation: localized (clique) states lie above λ_m. This situation is not absolutely exotic and has been seen in [43]. It would be interesting to formulate the general criteria when such "reversed" situation can be expected. It seems that the key difference is that in our case the excitations of the many-body system are solitons (cliques), but not the elementary quasiparticles.

IV. ON THE INTERPRETATION OF μ

Let us emphasize the important difference between the model of the many-body localization based on the short-range interaction, and the model considered here. In the model proposed in [3], the source of the disorder is the inelastisity of the collisions in the initial many-body system, which gets mapped onto the on-cite disorder in the network description of the Fock space. In our framework, the on-cite disorder is absent and no special disorder is introduced, however the system is driven by the condition to increase the 3-cycles in the random network . One could wonder: what is the physical meaning of the fugacity of triads, μ, for the initial many-body system and in which sense μ could serve as the measure of disorder? To get some intuition it is natural to look first at the systems whose effective matrix model descriptions involve cubic potentials.

The one possible source of inspiration comes from SUSY Yang-Mills theory in five dimensions. The appeal to this

system in our study might look strange, however note that the description of this system in terms of the large-N matrix model of Dijkgraaf-Vafa type [45] involves the potential $V(X) = c\mathrm{Tr}\, X^2 + k\mathrm{Tr}\, X^3$ where k is the coefficient in front of the 5d Chern-Simons term, and X is the $N \times N$ random matrix. This matrix model can be approximately thought of as a tool to built the system from the instanton ensemble. Let us emphasize that the size of the matrix has nothing to do with the rank of the gauge group .The potential looks similar to our case, upon the identification of the fugacity of triads, μ, and the coefficient in front of CS term, k. Having in mind the similarity between our perturbed network and the instanton ensemble, remind the impact of the CS term on instantons (which are particles in five dimensions). It is two-fold: i) due to the 5d Witten effect , the instanton with topological charge I acquires the electric charge $Q_e = Ik$ and becomes the dyon; ii) the CS term induces the attractive long-range Calogero type $\frac{1}{x^2}$ interactions between instanton particles in four-dimensional space, which plays the key role in the instantonic representation of the condensate formation in this theory [46].

Could we learn anything from the above example? First of all, being rephrased, it implies that our variable μ could yield the additional quantum number for the solitons in the many-body systems via a somewhat similar effect. It is natural to expect that the number of triangles in each cluster could serve as this additional quantum number. Secondly, as in the 5D example, we could conjecture that μ induces the topological interaction between clusters which seem to be necessary to define the initial interacting many-body system. The critical behavior of the matrix model for the 5D SYM theory is briefly discussed in [48]. Let us mention also the discussion of the critical behavior in the different topological ensembles in [49], where the critical points in the parameter space for the 5D instanton ensembles have been considered.

It is worth mentioning the interpretation of the constraint imposed on the adjacency matrix, namely that the sum of "1" in each row and column is fixed. Just this constraint makes the analytic solution to this model very complicated since it is necessary to introduce special measure in the integral over the constrained matrices. This constraint is not "gauge invariant", hence we effectively look at the response of the system on the gauge non-invariant observable. Such a response is different at two sides of the phase transition point.

The second line of reasoning goes as follows. One of natural measures for the real space localization is the participation ratio which is defined as

$$P_n \propto \int dx |\Psi_n(x)|^4 \tag{10}$$

It is related to the return probability of the nth mode. This variable can be expressed as the path integral over the loops of all lengths. If we insert into this path integral the factor e^{-mL} for the loop of length L, we enforce the loops to be shorter. Physically this factor comes from the mass of the particle in the gapped phase. Certainly, this factor influences P_n and, therefore, the localization property.

We can consider similar argumentation in the Fock space, instead of the real space. Once again we can introduce the inverse participation ratio defined for the state a

$$P_a \propto \sum_n |\langle a|n\rangle|^4 \tag{11}$$

which has been identified with the return probability in the Hilbert space (see for instance the discussion in [41, 47]). This object can be considered as the path integral over all loops in the Fock space. The fugacity μ plays the role similar to the mass in the real space since it enforces the number of small 3-cycle loops in the path integral. Hence it plays the role of the "mass" in the Hilbert space and influences the inverse participation ratio. Therefore it can be considered as a kind of the measure for disorder in the Fock space.

Certainly the above arguments are very qualitative and can not uniquely determine what kind of interacting many-body system corresponds to the perturbed CERN and RRG at $\mu > \mu_{cr}$. However, the intuition borrowed from matrix models with the cubic potential, suggests that this is the system of interacting soliton-like objects with long-range interactions induced by the chemical potential for triads.

V. TRIANGLE-SHAPED SPECTRAL DENSITY AS A SIGNATURE OF THE NON-ERGODIC DELOCALIZED PHASE

To begin the discussion on the ergodicity let us remind the starting point of the many-body localization paradigm that is existence of the initial state when all degrees of freedom are localized. What is counterpart of this state in our

case?. Since we have identified the clusters with degrees of freedom or better to say basis in the many-body system it is natural to look at system when all states are localized.

To this aim we have considered the spectral density of the network consisting of clusters prepared *ad hoc* with exactly the same connectivity parameters as in the last panel of the Fig.1. Since this network does not have pre-history it knows nothing about the chemical potential for triangles and it can be considered as the basis "'non-interacting"' many-body system. It would be perfect reference system to start with if modes are strictly localized. The spectrum of this model is presented at Fig.4 and we see that indeed all spectrum is in the localized phase.



FIG. 4: Spectral density and level spacing distribution for the "memory-independent" CERN prepared *ad hoc*. There is no mobility edge in the system: all eigenmodes are localized in both zones.

Let us now question about the ergodicity of the states in the central zone of the ground state of CERN. Comparing the spectral densities of this "memory-independent" network, shown in the Fig.4 with the one of the "memory-dependent" final state of CERN in the Fig.2, we see that the network with *ad hoc* prepared clusters does not share the triangle spectral density and have very different level spacing distribution. Thus we can expect that the states in the central delocalized zone in the CERN and RRG are non-ergodic and essentially "memory-dependent" and the triangular shape of the central zone of the spectral density presumably is its signature.

Actually, the emergence of two different ("ergodic" and nonergodic") phases within the delocalized region, has been advocated in [12, 16–19] and is the subject of discussions . There is the argument expressed in [13] that in the large-N limit this two-phase structure disappears, thus being a finite-size effect. However, it was argued in [15] that the emergence of the non-ergodic phase is supplemented by the one-step replica symmetry breaking (RSB) and the phase

transition becomes even more sharp in the large N being the real phase transition separating the RSB and the replica symmetric phases. The block structure of the adjacency matrix shown in the last panel of the Fig.1 implies that we have a kind of the one-step replica symmetry breaking stage, and we could expect that we are in the non-ergodic "memory-dependent" phase.

The general belief is that the non-ergodic phase corresponds to the situation when the modes are delocalized at some finite number of sites whose number is relatively small at large N. This can be recognized via the evaluation of the multifractality exponent. In our case the perturbatively delocalized modes indeed are collectivized among some finite number of clusters, and this does not mean the delocalization of the whole network. The strong memory dependence we observe allows to suspect that there are some hidden nonlocal conservation laws [40]. The key property of the spectral density in the non-ergodic delocalized phase formulated in the studies on this issue is as follows: the spectral density does not obey the Wigner semicircle law, however the level spacing distribution probability nevertheless is approximately of the Wigner-Dyson type form [12**?**]. However certainly these points deserve for the detailed study.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have analyzed one-particle localization properties of the perturbed RRG and CERN. We have shown numerically that above the critical value of the chemical potential μ_{cr} the spectrum on the network is separated into the delocalized and localized modes and the mobility edge lies between two zones. Localized modes in one zone correspond to cliques or equivalently to the eigenvalue instantons, while delocalized modes correspond to the perturbative modes around the cliques collectivized via inter-clique interactions. We present arguments based on direct visualization of adjacency matrix structure, favoring the conjecture that the delocalized modes are in the non-ergodic regime with a kind of one-step replica symmetry breaking. We have focused in our paper mainly on the level distribution statistics. It would be useful to extend this analysis to the participation ratio, the entanglement entropy [44], or other benchmarks of the localization transition. We could mention also the many-body counterpart of the Thouless energy [34, 35] and the important effects of the spectral diffusion [42].

The CERNs and RRGs, supplemented by the fugacity of triads, can be considered as the model of the Fock space for some interacting many-body system. We suggest the following identification of this many-body system in the physical space.The initial model is the model of the prepared clusters discussed in Section 5. Both clusters and the excitations around clusters are localized and there is no conductivity. Switching on the long-range interaction whose strength is fixed by μ above some critical values of temperature and coupling the perturbative modes around clusters get delocalized while cluster degrees of freedom remain localized.

Mapping of the interacting many-body problem onto the Fock space network, is a subtle issue and there is no the proper exact statements about this phenomena yet. Since in the localization phase the hidden integrals of motion which destroy the ergodicity are expected, it is natural to discuss this mapping in the integrability framework. We plan to present corresponding arguments elsewhere.

We believe that the CERN and RRG decay by the mechanism of eigenvalue tunnelling, when the system is pushed to some "untypical" topological state with the large number of triples, is quite general. The eigenvalue tunnelling we are dealing with, has very different incarnations is physics: for instance, formation of the "eigenvalue instanton" signals the creation of the baby-universe or stable D-brane(see [39] for review). Interacting soliton-like cliques are the "degrees of freedom" in the initial many-body model. Our numerical study shows that in such model of interacting clusters (instantons, baby-universes, D-branes) there can be the mobility edge and the identification of the initial and effective degrees of freedom is very different at two sides of the mobility edge. It is natural to conjecture that the phase transition in the matrix model description of the pure 2d gravity or 5d SYM theory involving the cubic potential involves the localization-delocalization transition. Probably this transition at the mobility edge can be associated with the marginal stability curves. Certainly these issues deserve additional study.

In this paper we focused only on "colorless" CERN and RRG where vertices do not carry any additional degrees of freedom. In [50] the similar analysis for the colored network will be developed, where we are going to show that some additional color-dependent critical phenomena can occur (for the preliminary study see [21]).

In the model considered here, there are two types of natural degrees of freedom: clusters and elementary links. We argued that at strong coupling the fundamental degrees of freedom – network cliques – are identified with the soliton-like quasiparticles in the initial interacting many-body problem. It is natural to conjecture a kind of a weak-strong particle-soliton duality behind the many-body localization. Such a hope is consistent with the genus one Riemann surface for the two-zonal spectral density. The approach to the modular structure behind the Anderson transition

developed in [51] seems to be relevant for the identification of the modular structure in the many-body localization in real space and corresponding Anderson localization in the Fock space. Another incarnation of the modular invariance in localization phenomena for ensemble of sparse matrices, is discussed in [52].

We are grateful to M. Hovanessian, V. Pasquier, N. Prokof'ev and M. Tamm for the useful discussions. A.G. would like to thank SCGP at Stony Brook University for the hospitality during the program "Entanglement and the dynamical systems". The work of A.G. was performed at the Institute for Information Transmission Problems with the financial support of the Russian Science Foundation (Grant No.14-50-00150). SN acknowledges the support of the IRSES DIONICOS grant and of the RFBR grant No. 16-02-00252.

---

[1] P. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **109** 1492 (1958)
[2] A. D. Mirlin, F. Evers, I. V. Gornyi, and P. M. Ostrovsky, Anderson Transitions: Criticality, Symmetries, and Topologies Int. J. Mod. Phys. B, 24 1577 (2010)
[3] D. Basko, I. Aleiner, and B. Altshuler, Annals of Physics (2006) 321,1126
[4] I. Gornyi, A. Mirlin, and D. Polyakov, cond-mat 0407305
[5] B. Altshuler, Y.Gefen, A. Kamenev, and L. Levitov, Phys. Rev. Lett, 78 2803 (1997)
[6] R. Nandkishore and D. A. Huse, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics, 6 15 (2015)
[7] E.Altman and R. Vosk, Universal dynamics and renormalization in many body localized systems, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics, 6 383-409 (2015)
[8] R. Abou-Chacra, D.J. Thouless, and P.W. Anderson, J. Phys. C 6 1734 (1973).
[9] K.B. Efetov, Sov. Phys. JETP, 66 634 (1987)
[10] J.J.M. Verbaarschot, Nucl. Phys. B, 300 263 (1988)
[11] A.D. Mirlin and Y.V. Fyodorov, Nucl. Phys. B 366 507 (1991)
[12] B.L. Altshuler, E. Cuevas, L.B. Ioffe, and V.E. Kravtsov, Non-ergodic phases in strongly disordered random regular graphs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 156601 (2016)
[13] K.S. Tikhonov, A.D. Mirlin, and M.A. Skvortsov, Anderson localization on random regular graphs, arXiv:1604.05353
[14] K. S. Tikhonov and A. D. Mirlin, Fractality of wave functions on a Cayley tree: Difference between a tree and a locally tree-like graph without boundary, arXiv:1608.00331 (2016)
[15] B.L. Altshuler, L.B. Ioffe, V.E. Kravtsov, Multifractal states in self-consistent theory of localization: analytical solution, arXiv:1610.00758
[16] G. Biroli, A.C. Ribeiro-Teixeira, and M. Tarzia, Difference between level statistics, ergodicity and localization transitions on the Bethe lattice, arXiv:1211.7334 (2012).
[17] A. De Luca, B.L. Altshuler, V.E. Kravtsov, and A. Scardicchio, Anderson Localization on the Bethe Lattice: Nonergodicity of Extended States Phys. Rev. Lett., 113 046806 (2014)
[18] V. E. Kravtsov, I. M. Khaymovich, E. Cuevas, and M. Amini, A random matrix model with localization and ergodic transitions, New Journal of Physics 17 122002 (2015)
[19] D. Facoetti, P. Vivo, and G. Biroli, From non-ergodic eigenvectors to local resolvent statistics and back: a random matrix perspective, Eur. Phys. Lett., 115 47003 (2016)
[20] I. Garcia-Mata, O. Giraud, B. Georgeot, J. Martin, R. Dubertrand, and G. Lemarié, Scaling theory of the Anderson transition in random graphs: ergodicity and universality, arXiv:1609.05857
[21] V. Avetisov, A. Gorsky, S. Nechaev, and O. Valba, Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking and Phase Coexistence in Two-Color Networks, Phys. Rev. E 93 012302 (2016), [arXiv:1506.00205]
[22] V. Avetisov, M. Hovanesian, A. Gorsky, S. Nechaev, M. Tamm, and O. Valba Eigenvalue tunneling and decay of the quenched random networks, arXiv:1607.03871
[23] I. Oren and U. Smilansky, J. Phys. A, 43 225205 (2010)
[24] N. Y. Yao, C. R. Laumann, S. Gopalakrishnan, M. Knap, M. Müller, E. A. Demler, and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 243002 (2014)
[25] A.L. Burin, Phys. Rev. B 91 094202 (2015).
[26] J. Smith, A. Lee, P. Richerme, B. Neyenhuis, P.W. Hess, P. Hauke, M. Heyl, D. A. Huse, and C. Monroe, Nature Physics, doi:10.1038/nphys3783 (2016).
[27] D.B. Gutman, I.V. Protopopov, A.L. Burin, I.V. Gornyi, R.A. Santos, and A.D. Mirlin, Phys. Rev. B 93 245427 (2016)
[28] D.Strauss, On a General Class of Models for Interaction, SIAM Rev. 28 513 (1986)
[29] J. Park and M. E. J. Newman, Solution for the properties of a clustered network, Phys. Rev. E 72 02613
[30] Z. Burda, J. Jurkiewicz, and A. Krzywicki, Network transitivity and matrix models, Phys. Rev. E 69, 026106
[31] S. Maslov and K. Sneppen, Specificity and Stability in Topology of Protein Networks, Science, 296 910 (2002)
[32] F. Viger and M. Latapy, Efficient and simple generation of random simple connected graphs with prescribed degree sequence, Computing and Combinatorics, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 3595 440 (2005)
[33] R.R. Nadakuditi, M. E. J. Newman, Graph spectra and the detectability of community structure in networks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 188701 (2012) arXiv:1205.1813; R.R. Nadakuditi and M.E.J. Newman, Spectra of random graphs with arbitrary expected degrees, Phys. Rev. E, 87 012803, arXiv:1208.1275

[34] C. Monthus, Many-Body-Localization Transition : strong multifractality spectrum for matrix elements of local operators, J. Stat. Mech., 073301 (2016)
[35] M. Serbyn, Z. Papi, and D. A. Abanin, Thouless energy and multifractality across the many-body localization transition, arXiv:1610.02389
[36] D.J. Gross and A.A. Migdal, Nonperturbative Two-Dimensional Quantum Gravity, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 127 (1990)
E. Brezin and V.A. Kazakov, Exactly Solvable Field Theories of Closed Strings, Phys. Lett. B 236 144 (1990)
M.R. Douglas and S.H. Shenker, Strings in Less Than One-Dimension, Nucl. Phys. B 335 635 (1990)
[37] C.A. Trugenberger, Quantum Gravity as an Information Network: Self-Organization of a 4D Universe, Phys. Rev. D 92, 084014 (2015) arXiv:1501.01408
C.A. Trugenberger, Phys. Rev. E 92, 062818 (2015)
[38] D. Gaiotto, G.W. Moore, and A. Neitzke, Spectral networks, Ann. Henri Poincaré, 14 1643 (2013) [arXiv:1204.4824]
[39] M. Marino, Lectures on non-perturbative effects in large N gauge theories, matrix models and strings, Fortsch. Phys. 62 455 (2014) [arXiv:1206.6272]
[40] C. Monthus, Many-Body Localization: construction of the emergent local conserved operators via block real-space renormalization, J. Stat. Mech. 033101 (2016)
[41] I.V. Gornyi, A.D. Mirlin, and D.G. Polyakov, Many-body delocalization transition and relaxation in a quantum dot, Phys. Rev. B 93 125419 (2016)
[42] I.V. Gornyi, A.D. Mirlin, D.G. Polyakov, and A.L. Burin, Spectral diffusion and scaling of many-body delocalization transitions, arXiv:1611.02681
[43] V. P. Michal, B. L. Altshuler, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, arXiv:1402.4796
[44] T. Grover, Certain General Constraints on the Many-Body Localization Transition, [arXiv:1405.1471]
[45] R. Dijkgraaf and C. Vafa, Matrix models, topological strings, and supersymmetric gauge theories, Nucl. Phys. B 644 3 (2002) [hep-th/0206255]
[46] A. Gorsky and A. Milekhin, Condensates and instanton-torus knot duality. Hidden Physics at UV scale, Nucl. Phys. B 900 366 (2015) [arXiv:1412.8455]
A. Gorsky, A. Milekhin, and N. Sopenko, The Condensate from Torus Knots, JHEP, 1509 102 (2015) [arXiv:1506.06695]
[47] G.Misquich, V. Pasquier, and J.M. Luck, Inverse participation ratios in the XXZ spin chain, arXiv:1607.01300
[48] A. Marshakov and N. Nekrasov, Extended Seiberg-Witten Theory and Integrable Hierarchy, JHEP, 0701 104 (2007) [hep-th/0612019]
[49] K. Bulycheva, A. Gorsky, and S. Nechaev, Critical behavior in topological ensembles, Phys. Rev. D 92 105006 (2015) [arXiv:1409.3350]
[50] V. Avetisov, M. Hovanesian, A. Gorsky, S. Nechaev, M. Tamm, and O. Valba Eigenvalue tunneling and decay of the quenched multicolor random networks, to appear
[51] A. Altland, D. Bagrets, A. Kamenev, Topology vs Anderson localization: non-perturbative solutions in one dimension, Phys. Rev. B, 91 085429 (2015)
[52] V. Avetisov, P. Krapivsky, S. Nechaev, Native ultrametricity of sparse random ensembles, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor., 49 035101 (2016)